

**APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM – Page 1**  
(Electronic Format)**I. GENERAL INFORMATION**District: Mojave Desert Air Quality Management DistrictRule No(s): N/A Date adopted/Amended/Rescinded: Adopted April 23, 2018Rule Title(s): Federal Negative Declarations for Two Control Techniques Guidelines Source CategoriesDate Submitted to ARB: May 23, 2018If an Amended Rule, Date Last Amended (or Adopted): N/AIs the Rule Intended to be Sent to the U.S. EPA as a SIP Revision? ☒ Yes ☐ No (If No, do not complete remainder of form)District Contact: Tracy Walters Phone Number: (760) 245-1661 x6122 E-mail Address: twalters@mdaqmd.ca.govNarrative Summary of New Rule or Rule Changes: ☐ New Rule ☐ Amended Rule

The adoption of the FNDs for Two CTG Source Categories is necessary because for those source categories not represented within the area designated non-attainment for ozone, and covered by a CTG or which meet the definition of a major source, USEPA requires the submission of a FND certifying that those sources are not present. In the process of updating Rule 1115 – Metal Parts & Products Coating Operations to meet RACT requirements, the MDAQMD discovered that two CTG source categories in the Control Techniques Guidelines for Miscellaneous Metal and Plastic Parts Coatings (EPA-453/R-08-003, September 2008) were not adequately covered in the MDAQMD rule book. The MDAQMD then examined its permit files, emissions inventory and other documentation and has determined that there are no sources for Plastic Parts and Products, and Automotive/Transportation and Business Machine Plastic Parts, located within the jurisdiction of the MDAQMD and none are anticipated in the near future.

Pollutant(s) Regulated by the Rule (Check): ☐ ROG ☐ (NOx) ☐ SO2  
☐ (CO) ☐ PM ☐ TAC (name): \_\_\_\_\_**II. EFFECT ON EMISSIONS**

Complete this section ONLY for rules that, when implemented, will result in quantifiable changes in emissions. Attach reference(s) for emission factor(s) and other information. Attach calculation sheet showing how the emission information provided below was determined.

Net Effect on Emissions: ☐ Increase ☐ Decrease ☒ N/AEmission Reduction Commitment in SIP for this Source Category: N/AInventory Year Used to Calculate Changes in Emissions: N/A Area Affected: N/A

Future Year Control Profile Estimate (Provide information on as many years as possible):

N/A

**APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM - Page 2**  
(Electronic Format)

Baseline Inventory in the SIP for the Control Measure: N/A

Emissions Reduction Commitment in the SIP for the Control Measure: N/A

Revised Baseline Inventory (if any): N/A

Revised Emission Reduction Estimate (if developed): N/A

*Note that the district's input to the Rule Evaluation Form will not be used as input to the ARB's emission forecasting and planning.*

**III. SOURCES/ATTAINMENT STATUS**

District is: ☐ Attainment ☐ Nonattainment ☒ Split

Approximate Total Number of Small (<100 TPY) Sources Affected by this Amendment: N/A

Percent in Nonattainment Area: N/A%

Number of Large ( $\geq$  100 TPY) Sources Controlled: N/A Percent in Nonattainment Area: N/A%

Name(s) and Location(s) (city and county) of Large ( $\geq$  100 TPY) Sources Controlled by Rule *(Attach additional sheets as necessary)*: N/A

**IV. EMISSION REDUCTION TECHNOLOGY**

Does the Rule Include Emission Limits that are Continuous? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, Those Limits are in Section(s) N/A of the Rule.

Other Methods in the Rule for Achieving Emission Reductions are: N/A

**V. OTHER REQUIREMENTS**

The Rule Contains:

Emission Limits in Section(s): N/A Work Practice Standards in Section(s): N/A

Recordkeeping Requirements in Section(s): N/A Reporting Requirements in Section(s): N/A

**APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM - Page 3**  
(Electronic Format)

**VI. IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY PLAN**

☒ No Impact      ☐ Impacts RFP      ☐ Impacts attainment

Discussion: There is no potential that the adoption of Federal Negative Declarations might cause the release of additional air contaminants or create any adverse environmental impacts. This adoption merely certifies that MDAQMD review has identified these 2 CTG categories do not have corresponding sources (major or minor) within the jurisdiction of the MDAQMD.